arXiv:1609.08769v1 [nlin.SI] 28 Sep 2016

A note on the bi-Hamiltonian structure of Coxeter-Toda lattices

Eber Chuño*

Abstract

The main observation of this note is the introduction of an explicit non-local Poisson bracket defined on the phase space $G^{u,v}/H$ of a Coxeter-Toda lattice, where $G^{u,v}$ is Coxeter double Bruhat cell of GL_n and H is the subgroup of diagonal matrices. This non-local Poisson bracket is presented in an appropriate set of coordinates of $G^{u,v}/H$ derived from the so-called *factorization parameters*. On the other hand, M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein have proved that the standard Poisson-Lie bracket on GL_n induces a quadratic Poisson bracket on $G^{u,v}/H$ written in the appropriate set of coordinates, as mentioned above. Both of these Poisson brackets on $G^{u,v}/H$, the new non-local and the quadratic one, become to be compatible. Thus we can restate the bi-Hamiltonian structure of Coxeter-Toda lattices.

1 Introduction

One of the main features shared by most finite dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian systems is the existence of a bi-Hamiltonian structure, i.e., a pair of compatible Poisson brackets defined on the underlying phase space, such that the Hamiltonian vector field associated to the dynamical system is Hamiltonian with respect to both Poisson brackets.

Two of the most renowned and well documented examples of finite dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian systems are the Toda lattice and the relativistic Toda lattice. The former was discovered by Toda [15] as an special case of the famous Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice. The latter was introduced in [13].

The bi-Hamiltonian nature of the Toda and the relativistic Toda lattice is one of the most classical results. For instance, Suris [14] has presented both lattices as restrictions of the same Hamiltonian system to different symplectic leaves.

Moser, in his celebrated paper [11], made a fundamental contribution in the study of solving the non-periodic case of the Toda lattice. He defined a map, from the space of finite Jacobi matrices to the space of proper rational functions of fixed degree, to linearize the Toda lattice. The map, nowadays called the *Moser map*, associates to each Jacobi matrix its respective *Weyl function*, which is a matrix entry of the resolvent of the Jacobi matrix. The inverse problem, i.e., the reconstruction of the Jacobi matrix from its Weyl function was a fundamental key on Moser's work to solve the non-periodic Toda lattice.

In the study of magnetic monopoles a natural Poisson structure, called the *Atiyah-Hitchin bracket*, on the space of rational functions of fixed degree was discovered, [1]. Later, Faybusovich and Gekhtman [4] noticed that the Atiyah-Hitchin structure belongs to a finite family of compatible Poisson brackets on the space of proper rational functions of fixed degree.

The Toda and the relativistic Toda lattice were described, [3], as particular lattices of a class of integrable lattices derived from the full Kostant-Toda flows on Hessenberg matrices, called *elementary Toda lattices*. Each of these systems can be linearized using the Moser map. The Moser map along with the Faybusovich-Gekhtman family allowed to establish the multi-Hamiltonian structure of the elementary Toda lattices, [4]. The inverse problem for elementary Toda lattices was solved in [5].

Elementary Toda lattices belong to a broader family of integrable lattices, the so-called *Coxeter-Toda lattices*. The term Coxeter-Toda lattice for an arbitrary simple Lie group was first introduced in [9]. Bäcklund transformations among different Coxeter-Toda lattices were studied from the cluster algebra and weighted networks on annulus perspective in [8].

Coxeter-Toda lattices are commuting Hamiltonian flows, induced by Toda flows on GL_n which are generated by conjugation-invariant functions in GL_n with respect to the standard Poisson-Lie structure. Toda flows for an arbitrary standard semisimple Poisson-Lie group were studied in [12]. The phase space of Coxeter-Toda lattices are quotient spaces $G^{u,v}/H$, where $G^{u,v}$ is a particular class of double Bruhat cells of GL_n, named as *Coxeter double Bruhat cells*, and H is the subgroup of diagonal matrices.

Double Bruhat cells for any semisimple Lie group along with the concepts of *factorization parameters* and *twisted generalized minors* showed up in [6] in the total positivity context. Their connections with the integrable systems context were explained in [10].

In [8], a special set of coordinates derived from the factorization parameters of Coxeter double Bruhat cells $G^{u,v}$ was used to describe Coxeter-Toda lattices. These coordinates can be restored from the Weyl function

*DMAT-Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, e-mail: edanchv@gmail.com

of generic elements of a Coxeter double Bruhat cell. Thus the Moser map for Coxeter-Toda lattices is invertible. Again, the Faybusovich-Gekhtman family can be used to establish the multi-Hamiltonian nature of the Coxeter-toda lattices. However, explicit formulas in the mentioned set of coordinates of $G^{u,v}/H$ corresponding to the Faybusovich-Gekthman Poisson brackets have not appeared.

The goal of this note is to present the non-local Poisson bracket on $G^{u,v}/H$, Theorem 4.2, which corresponds to an element of the family of Faybusovich-Gekhtman Poisson brackets, namely, the Atiyah-Hitchin bracket.

This note is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to review the concepts of factorization parameters for double Bruhat cells $G^{u,v}$ and the standard Poisson-Lie structure in the GL_n case. Furthermore, we review the family of Faybusovich-Gekhtman Poisson brackets introduced in [4]. Section 3 treats the definition of Coxeter-Toda lattices which are particular commuting Hamiltonian flows on $G^{u,v}/H$ induced from Toda flows on GL_n. In Section 4 we present a non-local Poisson bracket on $G^{u,v}/H$ in coordinates derived from the factorization parameters which will be compatible with the Poisson bracket on $G^{u,v}/H$ induced from the standard Poisson-Lie bracket of GL_n. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to some discussion which would be interesting to address.

Acknowledgements: First, I am very thankful to M. Gekhtman for his support, guidance and stimulating conversations during my visit to the University of Notre Dame. Second, I appreciate the suggestions of M. Gekhtman and I. Mencattini during the writing process of this note. Lastly, I thank to FAPESP grant 2014/08512-3 and CAPES.

2 Preliminaries

This section presents fundamental concepts and results that are useful througout this note. The first two subsections are treated only for the GL_n case but they can be exposed for any semisimple Lie group G, see [7].

2.1 Double Bruhat cells and factorization parameters

Let $\mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{n}_+, \mathfrak{b}_-$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-$ be the algebras of upper triangular, strictly upper triangular, lower triangular and strictly lower triangular matrices, respectively. The connected subgroups that correspond to $\mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{n}_+, \mathfrak{b}_-$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-$ will be denoted by B_+, B_-, N_+ and N_-, respectively. We denote by H the subgroup of diagonal matrices in GL_n.

Since every $\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ has a unique decomposition into

$$\xi = \xi_- + \xi_0 + \xi_+, \quad \xi_\pm \in \mathfrak{n}_\pm, \xi_0 \text{ diagonal},$$

then every X in an open Zariski dense subset of GL_n there exists a unique Gauss decomposition:

$$X = X_- X_0 X_+, \quad X_\pm \in N_\pm, X_0 \in H.$$

Let s_i, $i \in [1, n-1]$, denote the elementary transposition $(i, i+1)$ in the symmetric group S_n. A *reduced decomposition*, not in a unique way, of an element $w \in S_n$ is a representation of w as a product $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ of the smallest possible length. The number l depends only on w, hence will be denoted by $l(w)$, and is called the *length* of w. The sequence of indices $(i_1, \ldots, i_l)$ that corresponds to a given reduced decomposition of w is called a *reduced word* for w. There is a unique element of S_n of maximal length, called the *longest element* of S_n. The notion of a reduced word for an ordered pair (u, v) of elements in S_n is defined as follows: if $(i_1, \ldots, i_{l(u)})$ is a reduced word for u and $(i'_1, \ldots, i'_{l(v)})$ is a reduced word for v, then any shuffle of sequences $(i_1, \ldots, i_{l(u)})$ and $(-i'_1, \ldots, -i'_{l(v)})$ is called a reduced word for (u, v).

Hereafter, $v \in S_n$ means $v = (\delta_{iv(j)})_{i,j=1}^n$ whenever there is no confusion. The *Bruhat decompositions* of GL_n with respect to B_+ and B_- are defined, respectively, by

$$\mathrm{GL}_n = \bigcup_{u \in S_n} B_+ u B_+, \qquad \mathrm{GL}_n = \bigcup_{v \in S_n} B_- v B_-.$$

The sets $B_+ u B_+$ (respectively, $B_- v B_-$) are called *Bruhat cells* (respectively, *opposite Bruhat cells*). For any $u, v \in S_n$, the *double Bruhat cell* $G^{u,v} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$, first introduced in [6], is defined as the intersection of a Bruhat cell and an opposite Bruhat cell, i.e.,

$$G^{u,v} = B_+ u B_+ \cap B_- v B_-.$$

Notice that any double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$ is invariant under left and right multiplication by elements of H.

Example 2.1. Let $u^{-1} = v = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$. Then

$$B_+ u B_+ = \{(x_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n \mid x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i - j > 1 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i+1,i} \neq 0\},$$

$$B_- v B_- = \{(x_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n \mid x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j - i > 1 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i,i+1} \neq 0\}.$$

Then

$$G^{u,v} = \{(x_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n \mid x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } |i-j| > 1 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i,i+1}x_{i+1,i} \neq 0\}.$$

The variety $G^{u,v}$ is biregularly isomorphic to a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{l(u)+l(v)+n}$, [6]. Different birational maps $X_{\mathbf{j}}$ from $\mathbb{C}^{l(u)+l(v)+n}$ to $G^{u,v}$ can be constructed in a explicit way. Namely, let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_{l(u)+l(v)+n})$ be a shuffle of a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ for (u, v) and any re-arrangement of the sequence $\mathbf{k} = \{\sqrt{-1}, 2\sqrt{-1} \ldots, n\sqrt{-1}\}$; and set

$$\theta(j_l) = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } j_l > 0, \\ - & \text{if } j_l < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_l \in \mathbf{k}. \end{cases}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $i, j \in [1, n-1]$ and $k \in [1, n]$, let

$$E_i^-(t) = I_n + te_{i+1,i}, \quad E_j^+(t) = I_n + te_{j,j+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad E_k^0(t) = I_n + (t-1)e_{k,k}$$

where $e_{i,j}$ denotes the elementary matrix $(\delta_{i\alpha}\delta_{j\beta})_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$. Then the map $X_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbb{C}^{l(u)+l(v)+n} \to G^{u,v}$, can be defined by

$$X_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{q=1}^{l(u)+l(v)+n} E_{|j_q|}^{\theta(j_q)}(t_q) \tag{2.1}$$

The parameters $t_1, \ldots, t_{l(u)+l(v)+n}$ constituting $\mathbf{t}$ are called *factorization parameters*.

Remark 2.2. The bidiagonal factorization (2.1) has an interpretation in terms of directed graphs embedded in a disc with weighted edges. These are called *perfect networks* in a disc, see [7].

2.2 The standard Poisson-Lie bracket

Recall that a Poisson-Lie group is a Lie group G equipped with a Poisson bracket such that the multiplication map $G \times G \to G$ is Poisson.

The *standard Poisson-Lie bracket* on SL_n, denoted by $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}$, is defined as follows:

$$\{f_1, f_2\}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}(X) = \frac{1}{2}(R(\nabla f_1(X)X), \nabla f_2(X)X) - \frac{1}{2}(R(X\nabla f_1(X)), X\nabla f_2(X)) \tag{2.2}$$

where $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ denotes the trace form of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, ∇ is the gradient defined with respect to the trace form, and $R : \mathfrak{sl}_n \to \mathfrak{sl}_n$ is the standard R-matrix

$$R(\xi) = (\mathrm{sgn}(j-i)\xi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$$

On coordinate functions x_{ij}, x_{kl}, the bracket $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}$ verify the following relation

$$\{x_{ij}, x_{kl}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_n} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{sgn}(k-i) + \mathrm{sgn}(l-j))x_{il}x_{kj}. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, for $G = \mathrm{SL}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} : x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21} = 1 \right\}$, the bracket relations (2.3) are

$$\{x_{11}, x_{12}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \frac{1}{2}x_{11}x_{12}, \quad \{x_{11}, x_{21}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \frac{1}{2}x_{11}x_{21}, \quad \{x_{11}, x_{22}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = x_{12}x_{21},$$
$$\{x_{12}, x_{21}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = 0, \quad \{x_{12}, x_{22}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \frac{1}{2}x_{12}x_{22}, \quad \{x_{21}, x_{22}\}_{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \frac{1}{2}x_{21}x_{22}.$$

The standard Poisson-Lie structure on GL_n, denoted by $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$, is the Poisson bracket (2.3) on GL_n considering the determinant function on GL_n as a Casimir function.

Remark 2.3. The notion of standard Poisson-Lie bracket is defined for any semisimple Lie group G. The symplectic leaves of such Poisson structure were described explicitly, using the so-called *twisted minors*, as translations by elements of the Cartan subgroup of a particular symplectic leaf inside of a double Bruhat cell, see [10].

In particular, every double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$ is a regular Poisson submanifold in GL_n equipped with the standard Poisson-Lie structure $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. Symplectic leaves in GL_n are of the form $S^{u,v}a$, where $S^{u,v} \subset G^{u,v}$ is a distinguished symplectic leaf and a is an element of H. Furthermore, the dimension of the symplectic leaves in $G^{u,v}$ are equal to $l(u) + l(v) + \mathrm{corank}(uv^{-1} - I_n)$.

Remark 2.4. The standard Poisson-Lie bracket on GL_n and the network representation in a disc of (2.1) led to the notion of *standard Poisson bracket* on the space of edge weights and on the space of face weights of a network in a disc. Later, this notion of standard Poisson bracket was extended for networks embedded in an annulus. Detailed explanation can be found in [7].

2.3 The Faybusovich-Gekhtman Poisson brackets

Denote by Rat_n the space of proper rational functions with complex coefficients of the form

$$\left\{ s(\lambda) = \frac{q(\lambda)}{p(\lambda)} \mid p(\lambda) \text{ is a monic polynomial }, \deg p = n, \deg q < n \right\}.$$

For fixed $p(\lambda), q(\lambda)$ and $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, let us denote

$$q^{[k]}(\lambda) = \lambda^k q(\lambda) \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\, p(\lambda))$$

and define a skew-symmetric bracket $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_k$ on the coefficients of $p(\lambda), q(\lambda)$ by setting

$$\{p(\lambda), p(\mu)\}_k = \{q(\lambda), q(\mu)\}_k = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\{p(\lambda), q(\mu)\}_k = \frac{p(\lambda)q^{[k]}(\mu) - p(\mu)q^{[k]}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} \tag{2.5}$$

This bracket can be re-written in terms of $s(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Rat}_n$ as

$$\{s(\lambda), s(\mu)\}_k = ((\lambda^k s(\lambda))_- - (\mu^k s(\mu))_-)\frac{s(\lambda) - s(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $s(\lambda)_- = s(\lambda) - s(\lambda)_+$ being $s(\lambda)_+$ the polynomial part of the Laurent expansion at infinity of $s(\lambda)$.

Remark 2.5. The bracket $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_0$ of the family (2.6) is known as the Atiyah-Hitchin bracket.

Proposition 2.6 ([4]). *The brackets $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_k$ $(k = 0, \dots, n-1)$ are compatible Poisson brackets on Rat_n.*

Let

$$\mathrm{Rat}'_n = \left\{ S(\lambda) = \frac{q(\lambda)}{p(\lambda)} \in \mathrm{Rat}_n \mid q(\lambda) \text{ is monic } \right\}$$

be the subset of Rat_n.

Proposition 2.7 ([4]). *The Poisson bracket $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_k$ induced on Rat'_n takes the form*

$$\{S(\lambda), S(\mu)\}_k = ((\lambda^k S(\lambda))_- - (\mu^k S(\mu))_-)\left(\frac{S(\lambda) - S(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} + S(\lambda)S(\mu)\right) \tag{2.7}$$

One can see that equation (2.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\{p(\lambda), p(\mu)\}_k = \{q(\lambda), q(\mu)\}_k = 0, \\ &\{p(\lambda), q(\mu)\}_k = \frac{p(\lambda)q^{[k]}(\mu) - p(\mu)q^{[k]}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} - q^{[k]}(\lambda)q(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

3 Coxeter-Toda lattices on GL_n

3.1 Toda flows

Toda flows on GL_n are equations of motion generated by $F_k(X) = \frac{1}{k}\mathrm{Trace}(X^k)$ $(k = 1, \dots, n-1)$ and the standard Poisson-Lie structure $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. The equation of motion generated by F_k has a Lax form:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \left[X, -\frac{1}{2}(\pi_+(X^k) - \pi_-(X^k))\right] \tag{3.1}$$

where $\pi_+(A)$ and $\pi_-(A)$ denote strictly upper and lower parts of a matrix A. The functions $F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$ form a maximal family of algebraically independent conjugation-invariant functions of GL_n and they Poisson commute.

The action by conjugation of H on GL_n with respect to the standard Poisson-Lie structure on GL_n is Poisson and since the action preserves double Bruhat cells, the standard Poisson-Lie structure induces a Poisson structure on $G^{u,v}/H$. Thus Toda flows induces a family of commuting Hamiltonian flows on $G^{u,v}/H$.

Example 3.1. Let v and v as in Example 2.1. Then one has that $G^{u,v}/H$ is described as the set of Jac *Jacobi matrices* of the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_1 & b_2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & a_{n-2} & b_{n-1} & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} & b_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \neq 0, \quad \det L \neq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The Lax equations (3.1) then become the equations of the finite non-periodic Toda hierarchy

$$\frac{d}{dt}L = [L, \pi_-(L^k)]$$

the first of which, $k = 1$, is the well-known Toda lattice:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}a_j &= a_j(b_{j+1} - b_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \frac{d}{dt}b_j &= a_j - a_{j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

with boundary condition $a_n = a_0 = 0$.

Let

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \left\{ \frac{q(\lambda)}{p(\lambda)} \mid \deg p = \deg q + 1 = n,\ p, q(\lambda)\text{monic and coprime } p(0) \neq 0 \right\} \subset \mathrm{Rat}'_n$$

The *Weyl function*

$$m(\lambda; X) = \big((\lambda I - X)^{-1} e_1, e_1\big) = \frac{q(\lambda)}{p(\lambda)} \in \mathcal{W}_n, \quad X \in \mathrm{GL}_n, \tag{3.4}$$

where $p(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of X, $q(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the $(n-1)\times(n-1)$ submatrix of X formed by deleting the first row and column, is invariant under the action of H on $G^{u,v}$ by conjugation. Thus we have a map, called the *Moser map*,

$$m_{u,v} : G^{u,v}/H \to \mathcal{W}_n.$$

In the tridiagonal case, $v = u^{-1} = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$, Moser [11] proved that the map $m_{u,v}$ is invertible and the system (3.3) is a completely integrable system in the sense of Arnold-Liouville. The level sets of the function $\det L$ foliate Jac into $2(n-1)$-dimensional symplectic manifolds.

In [8] was proved that there are other double Bruhat cells, called *Coxeter double Bruhat cells*, which share common features with the tridiagonal case. The next subsection, following [8], is intended to review results and notation about Coxeter double Bruhat cells.

3.2 Coxeter double Bruhat cells on GL_n

A Coxeter element $w \in S_n$ is a product of $n-1$ distinct transpositions. Given a pair of Coxeter elements $u, v \in S_n$, the double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$ is called a *Coxeter double Bruhat cell* and in this case $\dim G^{u,v} = 3n-2$. For any pair (u, v) of Coxeter elements the integrable equations induced on $G^{u,v}/H$ by Toda flows will be called *Coxeter-Toda lattices*.

Every Coxeter element $v \in S_n$ can be written in the form

$$v = s_{[i_{k-1}, i_k]} \cdots s_{[i_1, i_2]} s_{[1, i_1]} \tag{3.5}$$

for some subset $I = \{1 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k = n\} \subset [1, n]$ where $s_{[p,q]} = s_p s_{p+1} \cdots s_{q-1}$ for $1 \le p < q \le n$. For a pair (u, v) of Coxeter elements let

$$\begin{aligned} I^+ &= \{1 = i_0^+ < i_1^+ < \cdots < i_{k^+}^+ = n\}, \\ I^- &= \{1 = i_0^- < i_1^- < \cdots < i_{k^-}^- = n\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

the subsets of $[1, n]$ that correspond to v and u^{-1}, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (s_{i_1^- - 1} \cdots s_1)(s_{i_2^- - 1} \cdots s_{i_1^-}) \cdots (s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i_{k^- - 1}^-}) \\ v &= (s_{i_{k^+-1}^+} s_{i_{k^+-1}^+ + 1} \cdots s_{n-1})(s_{i_{k^+-2}^+} \cdots s_{i_{k^+-1}^+ - 1}) \cdots (s_1 \cdots s_{i_1^+ - 1}). \end{aligned}$$

For a set of complex parameters $c_1^\pm, \dots, c_{n-1}^\pm; d_1, \dots, d_n$ define the matrices $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ and

$$C_j^+ = \sum_{\alpha = i_{j-1}^+}^{i_j^+ - 1} c_\alpha^+ e_{\alpha, \alpha+1}, \quad j \in [1, k^+], \qquad C_j^- = \sum_{\alpha = i_{j-1}^-}^{i_j^- - 1} c_\alpha^- e_{\alpha, \alpha+1}, \quad j \in [1, k^-], \tag{3.7}$$

Using the map (2.1) one has the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 ([8]). *A generic element $X \in G^{u,v}$ can be written as*

$$X = (1 - C_1^-)^{-1} \cdots (1 - C_{k^-}^-)^{-1} D (1 - C_{k^+}^+)^{-1} \cdots (1 - C_1^+)^{-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Fixed a pair (u, v) of Coxeter elements and hence fixed sets I^+, I^- given by (3.6) set

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = i_j \text{ for some } 0 < j < k_\pm \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i^+ + \varepsilon_i^-, \qquad \varkappa_i = i + 1 - \sum_{\beta=1}^{i} \varepsilon_\beta.$$

Using the Laurent expansion of the Weyl function (3.4) at infinity, we have

$$m(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_j(X)}{\lambda^{j+1}}$$

where

$$h_j(X) = (X^j)_{11} = (X^j e_1, e_1), \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is the jth moment of X. For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define the Hankel matrices

$$\mathcal{H}_i^{(l)} = (h_{\alpha+\beta+l-i-1})_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{i}$$

and Hankel determinants

$$\Delta_i^{(l)} = \det \mathcal{H}_i^{(l)}$$

where $\Delta_0^l = 1$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.3 ([8]). *If X possesses factorization (3.8), then*

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \frac{\Delta_i^{(\varkappa_i+1)} \Delta_{i-1}^{(\varkappa_{i-1})}}{\Delta_i^{(\varkappa_i)} \Delta_{i-1}^{(\varkappa_{i-1}+1)}}, \\ c_i^+ c_i^- &= \frac{\Delta_{i-1}^{(\varkappa_{i-1})} \Delta_{i+1}^{(\varkappa_{i+1})}}{\left(\Delta_i^{(\varkappa_i+1)}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{i+1}^{(\varkappa_{i+1}+1)}}{\Delta_{i+1}^{(\varkappa_{i+1})}}\right)^{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\Delta_{i-1}^{\varkappa_{i+1}+1}}{\Delta_{i-1}^{(\varkappa_{i-1})}}\right)^{2-\varepsilon_i} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for any $i \in [1, n]$.

Corollary 3.4. *For a pair (u, v) of Coxeter elements in S_n. The map $m_{u,v} : G^{u,v}/H \to \mathcal{W}_n$ is invertible.*

For a detailed description of Coxeter-Toda flows, parameters $c_i = c_i^+ c_i^-, d_i$ that correspond to factorization (3.8) were chosen as coordinates on an open dense set in $G^{u,v}/H$.

Using the standard Poisson bracket on the space of faces weights in a particular network $N_{u,v}^\circ$ on the annulus built from the network $N_{u,v}$ in the disc corresponding to an element $X \in G^{u,v}$ with factorization (3.8), the next result was obtained.

Proposition 3.5 ([8]). *The standard Poisson-Lie structure on GL_n induces the following Poisson brackets for variables c_i, d_i*

$$\{c_i, c_{i+1}\} = (\varepsilon_{i+1} - 1) c_i c_{i+1}, \quad \{c_i, d_i\} = -c_i d_i, \quad \{c_i, d_{i+1}\} = c_i d_{i+1}, \tag{3.11}$$

and the rest of the brackets are zero.

The Hamiltonians $F_k(X) = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Trace}(X^k)$ of the Toda flows are invariant under conjugation by elements of H. Then when restricted to a Coxeter double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$ the $F_k's$ can be expressed as functions of c_i, d_i, which, in turn, serve as Hamiltonians for Coxeter-Toda flows on $G^{u,v}/H$. Thus the Toda hierarchy defines a completely integrable system on the symplectic leaves (level sets of the determinant) in $G^{u,v}/H$, i.e., Coxeter-Toda lattices are completely integrable systems.

For instance, when $k = 1$ consider $I^- \cup I^+ = \{1 = i_1, \dots, i_m = n\}$ then

$$F_1 = F_1(c, d) = d_1 + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=i_l+1}^{i_{l+1}} (d_j + c_{j-1} d_{j-1} + \ldots + c_{j-1} \cdots c_{i_l} d_{i_l})$$

Example 3.6. (i) Let $v = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$, then $I^+ \cup I^- = [1, n]$ and $F_1(c, d) = d_1 + d_2 + c_1 d_1 + \cdots + d_n + c_{n-1} d_{n-1}$. If, in addition, $u = v^{-1}$, then $\varepsilon = (2, 0, \dots, 0)$ and F_1 and (3.11) generate the Hamiltonian equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{d}_i &= \{d_i, F_1\} = d_i(c_i d_i - c_{i-1} d_{i-1}) \\ \dot{c}_i &= \{c_i, F_1\} = c_i(d_{i+1} - d_i + c_{i-1} d_{i-1} - c_i d_i) \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables, $a_i = c_i d_i^2$, $b_i = d_i + c_{i-1} d_{i-1}$, the system becomes the Toda lattice (3.3).

(ii) Let $u = v = s_{n-1}\cdots s_1$, then $\varepsilon = (2, 1, \ldots, 1, 0)$. The Hamiltonian equations generated by F_1 and (3.11) produce the system

$$\dot{d}_i = d_i(c_i d_i - c_{i-1}d_{i-1}),$$
$$\dot{c}_i = c_i(d_{i+1} - d_i + c_{i+1}d_{i+1} - c_i d_i)$$

After the change of variables $\tilde{c}_i = c_i d_i$, this system becomes the *relativistic Toda lattice*:

$$\dot{d}_i = d_i(\tilde{c}_i - \tilde{c}_{i-1}), \qquad \dot{\tilde{c}}_i = \tilde{c}_i(d_{i+1} - d_i + \tilde{c}_{i+1} - \tilde{c}_{i-1}) \tag{3.12}$$

As is well known, the Toda lattice (3.3) and the relativistic Toda lattice (3.12) are well known completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems, [14]. Using the family of compatible Faybusovich-Gekhtman Poisson brackets and the fact that the Moser map is an invertible map, the multi-Hamiltonian nature of Coxeter-Toda lattices is established. This fact was exposed in [4] for *elementary Toda lattices*, which are particular Coxeter-Toda lattices $G^{u,v}/H$ by taking $v = s_{n-1}\cdots s_1$ and an arbitrary Coxeter element u.

Then the question about an explicit family of compatible Poisson brackets on $G^{u,v}/H$ in terms of c_i, d_i coordinates, corresponding to the Faybusovich-Gekhtman family, is a natural question.

4 The non-local Poisson bracket

In this section we address the first step to the proposed problem in the last section.

Doing software MAPLE computations for small values of n, we have computed the corresponding pullback Poisson bracket $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}$ on $G^{u,v}/H$, via the Moser map $m_{u,v}$, which corresponds to the Atiyah-Hitchin Poisson bracket $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}_0$ on Rat'_n .

Example 4.1. The case $n = 3$ is shown in the table below.

	$u^{-1} = s_1s_2, v = s_1s_2$	$u^{-1} = s_2s_1, v = s_1s_2$	$u^{-1} = s_2s_1, v = s_2s_1$
$\{c_1, c_2\}$	0	$\frac{c_1c_2(c_1+1)}{d_2}$	$\frac{2c_1c_2(c_1+1)}{d_2}$
$\{c_1, d_1\}$	c_1	c_1	c_1
$\{c_1, d_2\}$	$-c_1(c_1+1)$	$-c_1(c_1+1)$	$-c_1(c_1+1)$
$\{c_1, d_3\}$	c_1c_2	0	$-c_1c_2(c_1+1)$
$\{c_2, d_1\}$	0	$\frac{c_1c_2d_1}{d_2}$	$\frac{2c_1c_2d_1}{d_2}$
$\{c_2, d_2\}$	c_2	c_2	c_2
$\{c_2, d_3\}$	$-c_2(c_2+1)$	$-c_2(c_2+1)$	$-c_2(c_2+1)$
$\{d_1, d_2\}$	c_1d_1	c_1d_1	c_1d_1
$\{d_1, d_3\}$	0	0	$c_1c_2d_1$
$\{d_2, d_3\}$	c_2d_2	c_2d_2	c_2d_2
ϵ_1	2	2	2
ϵ_2	2	1	0
ϵ_3	0	0	0

The third column correspond to tridiagonal matrices.

Theorem 4.2. *The bracket*

$$\{d_i, d_{i+k}\} = \begin{cases} c_i d_i & \text{if } k = 1, \\ d_i \prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1} c_j & \text{if } k > 1,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = \ldots = \epsilon_{i+k-1} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\{c_i, d_{i+k}\} = \begin{cases} c_i & \text{if } k = 0, \\ -c_i(c_i+1) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ -(c_i+1)\prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1} c_j & \text{if } k > 1,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = \ldots = \epsilon_{i+k-1} = 0, \\ \prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1} c_j & \text{if } k > 1,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = 2, \epsilon_{i+2} = \ldots = \epsilon_{i+k-1} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\{c_{i+k}, d_i\} = \begin{cases} (2-\epsilon_{i+1})c_i c_{i+1}\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}} & k\text{=1 ,} \\ (2-\epsilon_{i+k})\frac{d_i}{d_{i+k}}\prod_{j=i}^{i+k} c_j & \text{if } k > 1,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = \ldots = \epsilon_{i+k-1} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\{c_i, c_{i+k}\} = \begin{cases} (2-\epsilon_{i+1})\frac{c_i+1}{d_{i+1}}c_i c_{i+1} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ (2-\epsilon_{i+k})\frac{c_i+1}{d_{i+k}}\prod_{j=i}^{i+k} c_j & \text{if } k > 1,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = \ldots = \epsilon_{i+k-1} = 0, \\ (\epsilon_{i+k}-2)\frac{\prod_{j=i}^{i+k} c_j}{d_{i+k}} & \text{if } k > 1,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = 2, \epsilon_{i+2} = \ldots = \epsilon_{i+k-1} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

is a Poisson bracket which is compatible with (3.11).

Proof. Letting

$$\varepsilon_{i+1} = \ldots = \varepsilon_{i+m-1} = 0, \tag{A1}$$
$$\varepsilon_{i+1} = 2, \varepsilon_{i+2} = 0, \ldots = \varepsilon_{i+m-1} = 0, \tag{A2}$$
$$\varepsilon_{i+m+1} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{i+m+n-1} = 0, \tag{B1}$$
$$\varepsilon_{i+m+1} = 2, \varepsilon_{i+m+2} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{i+m+n-1} = 0, . \tag{B2}$$

The Jacobi property for the bracket (4.1)-(4.4) follows from verifying it for 8 possible triples of functions d_i, d_{i+m}, d_{i+m+n}; d_i, d_{i+m}, c_{i+m+n}, etc. Each case is verified separately depending on whether conditions (A1), (A2), (B1) and (B2) are satisfied. Detailed computations can be found in [2].

Analogously the compatibility of the Poisson brackets (4.1)-(4.4) and (3.11) follows from 8 possible cases. □

Example 4.3. Let us consider 2 cases as in Example 3.6.

(i) Let $v = u^{-1} = s_{n-1} \ldots s_1$. Then we have $\varepsilon = (2, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and for $i < j$ the Poisson bracket (4.1)-(4.4) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{c_i, d_i\} = c_i, \qquad \{c_i, c_j\} = \frac{2(c_i+1)\prod_{l=i}^{j} c_l}{d_j}, \qquad \{c_i, d_j\} = -(c_i+1)\prod_{l=i}^{j-1} c_l, \\ &\{c_j, d_i\} = \frac{2d_i \prod_{l=i}^{j} c_l}{d_j}, \qquad \{d_i, d_j\} = d_i \prod_{l=i}^{j-1} c_l. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We can see that $F_2 = 1/2\,\mathrm{Trace}(X^2)$ can be written as $F_2 = \frac{1}{2}(d_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(2d_i^2 c_i + (d_{i+1} + c_i d_i)^2)$. Furthermore the function $F_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(d_i + c_{i-1}d_{i-1})$ is Casimir for the bracket (4.5).
Using the change of variables $a_i = c_i d_i^2$, $b_i = d_i + c_{i-1}d_{i-1}$ the Poisson bracket (4.5) becomes

$$\{a_i, b_i\} = -\{a_i, b_{i+1}\} = a_i \tag{4.6}$$

which is the linear Poisson bracket of the of the non-periodic Toda lattice in Flaschka's variables a_i, b_i with Hamiltonian function

$$F_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2$$

i.e., the system

$$\dot{a}_i = \{a_i, F_2\}, \qquad \dot{b}_i = \{b_i, F_2\},$$

is the Toda lattice (3.3).

(ii) Let $u = v = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$. Then we have $\varepsilon = (2, 1, \ldots, 1, 0)$ and the Poisson bracket (4.1)-(4.4) becomes in the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{c_i, d_i\} = c_i, \qquad \{c_i, c_{i+1}\} = \frac{(c_i+1)c_i c_{i+1}}{d_{i+1}}, \qquad \{c_i, d_{i+1}\} = -c_i(c_i+1), \\ &\{c_{i+1}, d_i\} = \frac{d_i c_i c_{i+1}}{d_{i+1}}, \qquad \{d_i, d_{i+1}\} = d_i c_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Using the change of variables $\tilde{c}_i = c_i d_i$, as in the second case of Example 3.6, the Poisson bracket (4.7) leads to

$$\{\tilde{c}_i, d_i\} = \tilde{c}_i = \{d_i, d_{i+1}\} = -\{\tilde{c}_i, d_{i+1}\}$$

which is the linear Poisson bracket for the relativistic Toda lattice (3.12), see [14].

5 Discussion

This final section brings some problems to address in the future.

Poisson maps. As we mentioned, the Poisson bracket (4.1)-(4.4) was obtained experimentally and consequently the proof of Theorem 4.2 was divided in two steps of lengthy computation. However, one should would be able to prove it in a better way, i.e., the Moser map $m_{u,v} : (G^{u,v}/H, \{\cdot,\cdot\}) \to (\mathcal{W}_n, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0)$ must be a Poisson map. Consequently the generalized Bäcklund-Darboux transformations $\sigma_{u,v}^{u',v'} : G^{u,v}/H \to G^{u',v'}/H$, see [8], are Poisson maps with respect to corresponding Poisson brackets (4.1)-(4.4).

Other compatible Poisson brackets. Compute explicit formulae of Poisson brackets on c_i, d_i coordinates corresponding to the family of compatible Faybusovich-Gekhtman Poisson brackets for $k = 2, \ldots, n-1$. Consequently, using the change of coordinates as in Example 4.3 one would have an explicit family of Poisson brackets for the Toda lattice and the relativistic Toda lattice.

Poisson relations in factorization parameters. In [10] (equation (4.1)), a general formulae of Poisson relations, using factorization parameters t_k, a^γ, is induced from the standard Poisson-Lie structure on a simple Lie group G. The Poisson bracket (4.1)-(4.4) can suggest the existence of another Poisson bracket in factorization parameters t_k, a^γ which will be compatible with the quadratic one presented in [10].

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, N. Hitchin, *The Geometry and Dynamics of Magnetic Monopoles*, M.B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988

[2] E. Chuño, *On Coxeter-Toda lattices*, PhD Thesis.

[3] L. Faybusovich and M. Gekhtman, *Elementary Toda orbits and integrable lattices*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 2905-2921.

[4] L. Faybusovich and M. Gekhtman, *Poisson brackets on rational functions and multi-Hamiltonian structure for integrable lattices*, Phys. Lett. A **272** (2000), 236-244.

[5] L. Faybusovich, M. Gekhtman, *Inverse moment problem for elementary co-adjoint orbits*, Inverse Problems **17** (2001), 1295?1306.

[6] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Double Bruhat cells and total positivity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 2, 335-380.

[7] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Cluster Algebras and Poisson Geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **167**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[8] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Generalized Bäcklund-Darboux transformations for Coxeter-Toda lattices from cluster algebra perspective*, Acta Math. **206** (2011), 245-310.

[9] T. Hoffmann, J. Kellendonk, N. Kutz and N. Reshetikhin, *Factorization dynamics and Coxeter-Toda lattices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **212** (2000), 297-321.

[10] M. Kogan and A. Zelevinsky, *On symplectic leaves and integrable systems in standard complex semisimple Poisson-Lie groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices. (2002), 1685-1702.

[11] J. Moser, *Finitely many mass points on the line under the influence of the exponential potential-an integrable system*. Dynamical systems, theory and applications, 467-497, Lecture Notes in Physics **38**, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[12] N. Reshetikhin, *Integrability of characteristic Hamiltonians systems on simple Lie groups with standard Poisson-Lie structure*, Comm. Mat. Phys. **242** (2003), 1-29.

[13] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *Relativistic Toda systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133**, 217-247 (1990).

[14] Y.B. Suris, *On the bi-Hamiltonian structure of Toda and relativistic Toda lattice*, Phys. Letters A **180** (1993), 419-429.

[15] M. Toda, *Vibration of a chain with a non-linear interaction*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **22** (1967), 431?436.